1	Brian C. Rocca, Bar No. 221576	Glenn D. Pomerantz, Bar No. 112503		
	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com		
2	Sujal J. Shah, Bar No. 215230	Kuruvilla Olasa, Bar No. 281509		
_	sujal.shah@morganlewis.com	kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com		
3	Michelle Park Chiu, Bar No. 248421	Nicholas R. Sidney, Bar No. 308080		
	michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com	nick.sidney@mto.com		
4	Minna Lo Naranjo, Bar No. 259005	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
_	minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com	350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor		
5	Rishi P. Satia, Bar No. 301958	Los Angeles, California 90071		
	rishi.satia@morganlewis.com	Telephone: (213) 683-9100		
6	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	Wale W. Marla Day No. 202000		
7	One Market, Spear Street Tower	Kyle W. Mach, Bar No. 282090		
′	San Francisco, CA 94105-1596	kyle.mach@mto.com		
8	Telephone: (415) 442-1000	Justin P. Raphael, Bar No. 292380		
8	Facsimile: (415) 442-1001	justin.raphael@mto.com Emily C. Curran-Huberty, Bar No. 293065		
9	Richard S. Taffet, <i>pro hac vice</i>	emily.curran-huberty@mto.com		
	richard.taffet@morganlewis.com	Dane P. Shikman, Bar No. 313656		
10	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	dane.shikman@mto.com		
10	101 Park Avenue	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
11	New York, NY 10178-0060	560 Mission Street, Twenty Seventh Fl.		
	Telephone: (212) 309-6000	San Francisco, California 94105		
12	Facsimile: (212) 309-6001	Telephone: (415) 512-4000		
	( ) = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	1 /		
13	Counsel for Defendants	Jonathan I. Kravis, pro hac vice		
		jonathan.kravis@mto.com		
14		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
		601 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Ste 500E		
15		Washington, D.C. 20001		
1.0		Telephone: (202) 220-1100		
16				
17				
1 /				
18	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	TOTALIBRA DISTRICT OF STEEL ON THE			
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
21	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD		
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION			
22		<b>ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO</b>		
	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	<b>CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER</b>		
23		PARTY'S MATERIALS SHOULD BE		
24	In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust	SEALED RELATING TO NOTICE OF		
24	Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD	FILING OF DECLARATION OF DR.		
25	Enigation, Case 110. 3.20-ev-03/01-3D	GREGORY K. LEONARD		
25	State of Italy at all as Consola II C at al			
26	State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,	Judge: Hon. James Donato		
ا ۵	Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD			
27				
- '				
28				

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(c-f), Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Ltd., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Google Payment Corp. (collectively, "Google") respectfully submit this Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed Relating to Google's Notice of Filing of Declaration of Dr. Gregory K. Leonard In Response to Court's Order Re Dr. Singer's Proposed Expert Testimony ("Motion to Seal"). The excerpts at issue in this Motion to Seal are sourced from documents that are designated as "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Protective Order entered by the Court, ECF No. 248. A redacted version of the exhibit to Notice of Filing of Declaration of Dr. Gregory K. Leonard In Response to Court's Order Re Dr. Singer's Proposed Expert Testimony has been filed in accordance with the Local Rules.

Subsection (f) of Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth procedures that apply when a party seeks to file information designated as confidential by another party. Under subsection (e), the "motion must identify each document or portions thereof for which sealing is sought" and "serve the motion on the Designating Party the same day the motion is filed." Pursuant to subsection (f)(1), the Designating Party has seven days to "file a statement and/or declaration" to establish why such designated material should be kept under seal pursuant to subsection (c)(1) of Civil Local Rule 79-5.

Civil Local Rule 79-5 provides that documents, or portions thereof, may be sealed if a party establishes that the documents are privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. A "strong presumption" of access to judicial records applies to dispositive pleadings, and a party seeking to seal those records must show "compelling reasons." *Primus Grp., Inc. v. Inst. for Env't Health, Inc.*, 395 F. Supp. 3d 1243, 1267 (N.D. Cal. 2019) (citations omitted). Compelling reasons justifying the sealing of court records generally exist "when such court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes, such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets." *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006)

24

25

26

27

1

(internal quotation marks omitted). However, "[t]he mere fact that the production of records may lead to a litigant's embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation will not, without more, compel the court to seal its records." *Id*.

Google identifies the following portions of its Notice of Filing of Declaration of Dr. Gregory K. Leonard In Response to Court's Order Re Dr. Singer's Proposed Expert Testimony and supporting papers as containing information designated as confidential by another party:

Document	Portion Containing Designated Information	Designating Party
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19 (between "shares of" and "respectively as of")	Rosetta Stone, Duolingo, and PictureThis
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19 (between "Duolingo (" and "versus")	PictureThis
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19 (between "versus" and ") simply"	Duolingo
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19 (between "Rosetta Stone (versus" and "as predicted by")	PictureThis
Exhibit 1	Page 13, Paragraph 19, Table (all figures under "Category Share" and "Logit Model's Assumption Regarding Percentages of Switching from 'Rosetta Stone' to 'Duolingo' and 'PictureThis – Plaint Identifier'" columns)	Rosetta Stone, Duolingo, and PictureThis

1		<i>l J. Shah</i> J. Shah
2	2	
3		cca, Bar No. 221576 morganlewis.com
4	Sujal J. Shar	n, Bar No. 215230
	Michelle Par	morganlewis.com k Chiu, Bar No. 248421
5		u@morganlewis.com aranjo, Bar No. 259005
6	6 minna.naran	jo@morganlewis.com a, Bar No. 301958
7	7    rishi.satia@r	norganlewis.com
8		LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Spear Street Tower
9		eo, CA 94105 (415) 442-1000
10		affet, <i>pro hac vice</i>
11	richard.taffer MORGAN,	t@morganlewis.com LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
12		NY 10178
13		212) 309-6000
14		merantz, Bar No. 112503 antz@mto.com
15	Kuruvilla Ol	asa, Bar No. 281509
	MUNGER,	sa@mto.com TOLLES & OLSON LLP
16		rand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor , California 90071
17	7 Telephone: (	213) 683-9100
18	8 Kyle W. Ma kyle.mach@	ch, Bar No. 282090
19	9    Justin P. Rap	ohael, Bar No. 292380
20		rran-Huberty, Bar No. 293065
21		-huberty@mto.com TOLLES & OLSON LLP
22		Street, Twenty Seventh Floor co, California 94105
23	Telephone: (	415) 512-4000
	Jonathan I. k	Kravis, pro hac vice
24		vis@mto.com TOLLES & OLSON LLP
25	601 Massach Washington,	nusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E D.C. 20001
26		202) 220-1100
27	Counsel for	Defendants
28	3	
	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTH SHOULD BE SEALED RELATING TO NOTICE OF FILING OF DECLARATE	
	SHOOLD BE SEALED RELATING TO NOTICE OF TILING OF DECLARATION OF DR. GREGORT R. LEONARD	